Documentation checklist:

- ~~Replace images on arch doc~~
- ~~Change2 partA~~
- Insert all URLs on change and arch
- ~~Write about waterfall in change2~~
- ~~How plan evolved over time~~
- ~~Do i need to add more class diagram changes~~
- ~~Check over requirements~~
- ~~Check over architecture~~
- ~~Check over plan~~
- ~~Check over risks~~

- ~~Make every document Open Sans Normal (or any sans serif) 11pt~~ Niamh
- ~~Fix margins :(~~ Niamh

Change2
- Change2 partA paragraph niamh ?
- WRITE ABOUT EVERY CHANGE THAT WE MAKE (we can keep this checklist as a list of things we also need to add to the change doc)

Plan1
- ~~Update weekly plans~~ Amy
- ~~Fix formatting with bullet points~~
- ~~Maybe write about how we linked our scrum method to their method they chose (as discussed in a meeting), or should we keep it the same as they had good feedback ?~~ ~~"The choice of modified waterfall method, and the development and communication tools are well discussed with consideration of alternatives."~~ Niamh
- ~~Maybe for this point we can copy and paste the roles we had on our original plan doc from assessment1:~~ ~~"what other management side of roles have you adopted?"~~ Amy
- ~~Write a few sentences about this:~~ ~~"How effective is your organisation?"~~ Amy
- ~~Write about how we evolved plan over time (basically same as partC from assessment 1)~~ Amy ~~"It is not entirely clear though how the plan evolved over time." 20~~

- Mention that we added initials to the weekly plan to show who did what (where there are no initial, this means everyone was involved). "An initial Gantt chart was constructed, it is not clear who a task was assigned to though." Amy
- Maybe edit their points on how we also used GitHub's projects to assign tasks to members, include screenshot or link maybe ?? "A switch to GitHub's project management system was discussed." Amy

Req1
- Write a paragraph summing up: "It is not clear though why the approach was taken and how well your approach worked (e.g. on reflection)."
- During part A, they mention they use a specific formatting for their requirements, so we need to make sure the new tables we have are in this formatting (Niamh)

Risk1
- Link risks in risk doc to each sprint of our plan: "How do you manage the dynamic aspect of the risks eg recording the ones that have materialised, or are no longer valid?" - just need to write this up from the comments Niamh made (Niamh)

Arch1
- Sort out arch1 formatting with bullet points (compare to original pdf) (Niamh)
- Put high quality images on the doc Amy
- Write about plant uml instead of diagrams.net amy
- Write about 2 new diagrams at the end of arch1 10
- Update edible and non-edible entity-component diagrams to add the new food on them, maybe ?? – dont think we need to do this as their diagrams dont include specifics
- Update class diagram with the main changes, maybe ?? amy
- The two new diagrams (one state and one sequence) me and Josh added are behavioural so idk if we will need to add more: "You should include behaviour diagrams as well eg sequence diagram for making a burger and state diagrams for interesting objects." amy
- Write about what we used instead of this: "The justification of the choice of tools are OK though you could consider alternatives to IntelliJ Ultimate." amy
- We might need to edit their CRC cards :(but maybe only if we have time: "CRC card should contain a collaboration section though." Amy